arXiv:1601.04946v2 [math.NT] 25 Jan 2016

On the unboundedness of common divisors of distinct terms of the sequence $a_n = 2^{2^n} + d$ for $d > 1$

Tigran Hakobyan

Abstract. It is well-known that for any distinct positive integers k and n, the numbers $2^{2^k} + 1$ and $2^{2^n} + 1$ are relatively prime. In this paper we consider the situation when 1 is replaced by some positive integer $d > 1$.

0.1 Introduction

Consider the sequence $a_n = 2^{2^n} + 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $a_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i + 2$, we therefore have that $\gcd(a_n, a_m) = 1$ for any unequal positive integers m and n. In this respect a natural question arises: is this propery preserved if we replace 1 by some positive integer $d > 1$. The main result of this paper is the following theorem

Theorem **0.1.** *For any positive integers $d > 1$ and m there exist two distinct elements a_k and a_l in the sequence $a_n = 2^{2^n} + d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $gcd(a_k, a_l) > m$.*

0.2 Proof of the theorem

Definition **0.1.** *We define $\nu_p(m) = \max\{k : p^k | m\}$ for any integer m and prime number p.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there are positive integers $d > 1$ and m such that $\gcd(a_k, a_l) \leq m$ for any distinct k and l. It follows that if for some t, k, l $(k \neq l)$ $p^t | a_k$ and $p^t | a_l$ then $p^t \leq \gcd(a_k, a_l) \leq m$, which shows that for any prime p the sequence $(\nu_p(a_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Let us now prove several lemmas.

Lemma **0.1.** *For any positive integers n and k satisfying $\nu_2(k) < n$ there exists some positive integer $l > n$ such that $(2^l - 2^n) \vdots k$ (here $m \vdots n$ means n divides m).*

Proof. Suppose $k = 2^a b$, where $a < n$ and b is odd. Since $b | 2^{\phi(b)} - 1$ and $a < n$, we infer that $(2^{n+\phi(b)} - 2^n) \vdots 2^n b \vdots 2^a b = k$. The lemma is proved. □

Lemma **0.2.** *If for some prime $p > m$ and positive integer n we have that $p | a_n$, then $p \equiv 1 (mod\ 2^n)$.*

Proof. Suppose $p \neq 1(mod\ 2^n)$. By lemma 1 there exists some $l > n$, such that $(2^l - 2^n)\vdots(p-1)$. Hence $a_l - a_n = 2^{2^l} - 2^{2^n} = 2^{2^n}(2^{2^l-2^n} - 1)\vdots 2^{2^n}(2^{p-1} - 1)\vdots p$. Since $p|a_n$, we can infer that $p|a_l$, which implies $p \leq (a_n, a_l) \leq m$, a contradiction to the conditions of the lemma. The lemma is proved. □

LEMMA **0.3.** *d is a power of 2.*

Proof. For any positive integer n, let $a_n = 2^{k_n} b_n c_n$, where b_n contains only odd prime divisors of a_n, which are less than m. If there is no such prime divisor we define $b_n = 1$. It follows from lemma 2 that $c_n \equiv 1(mod\ 2^n)$ hence $a_n \equiv 2^{k_n} b_n (mod\ 2^n)$. On the other hand $a_n \equiv d(mod\ 2^n)$, therefore $2^{k_n} b_n \equiv d(mod\ 2^n)$. Since the number of primes less than m is finite and $(\nu_p(a_n))_{n\in N}$ is bounded for any prime p, there is a positive integer M, such that $2^{k_n} b_n \leq M$ for any positive integer n. In this way we get that $2^{k_n} b_n = d$ for sufficiently large n. From this we infer that $d|a_n = 2^{2^n} + d$ and so $d|2^{2^n}$, which exactly means that d is a power of 2. The lemma is proved. □

LEMMA **0.4.** *For sufficiently large positive integer n there exists a positive integer $l > n$, such that $a_l \vdots a_n$.*

Proof. According to lemma 3, $d = 2^k$, for some k. Let us choose some $n > \nu_2(k)$, then $\nu_2(2^n - k) = \nu_2(k)$. Select some $l > n$ from lemma 1, then $(2^l - 2^n)\vdots(2^n - k)$, so $(2^l - k)\vdots(2^n - k)$. As $\nu_2(2^l - k) = \nu_2(k) = \nu_2(2^n - k)$, the fraction $\frac{2^l-k}{2^n-k}$ is an odd number, which shows that $(2^{2^l-k} + 1)\vdots(2^{2^n-k} + 1)$, thereby after multiplying by 2^k we will get that $a_l \vdots a_n$. The lemma is proved. □

From lemma 4 it follows that $a_n = (a_n, a_l) \leq m$ for $n > \nu_2(k)$, which is a contradiction. So, our assumption was wrong and the theorem is now proved. □

References

[1] K.Chandrasekharan, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer, (1968).

[2] I.M.Vinogradov, *Elements of number theory*, Dover Publ., New York, (2003).

[3] A. A. Buhshtab, *Theory of Numbers* (in russian), Moscow, (1974),

[4] E.Ram Murty, *Problems in analytical number theory*, Springer, 1998.